Document 1 Filed 09/06/13 Page 1 of 22 Page ID #:8

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 Plaintiff Juan Carlos Velazquez, for its complaint alleges as follows:

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

As a complete and independent claims for relief, Plaintiff asserts 1. claims of trademark and service mark infringement, counterfeiting, false designations of origin and unfair competition by Defendants under the laws of the United States, particularly under the federal Trademark Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq., and California statutory and common law.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction as to the violations of the Lanham Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332(a)-(c) and § 1338(a). This Court also has pendant jurisdiction over the state causes of action relating to unfair competition and to trademark, service mark, trade name and trade dress infringement, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b).
- 3. The Court has specific personal jurisdiction over all the defendants as each has purposefully committed, within the state, the acts from which these claims arise and/or has committed tortuous acts outside California, knowing and intending that such acts would case injury within the state.
- Venue in this action is proper in the United States District Court for the Central District of California by virtue of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1391(c) in that some defendants reside in this District, transact affairs in this District and that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred within this District.

#### THE PARTIES

- 5. Juan Carlos Velazquez ("Velazquez"), is a resident of the State of Florida and maintains its principal place of business in Miami, Florida, is the exclusive owner of the musical group known as "Los Iracundos".
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that belief alleges that Defendant Celso Hernandez resides and maintains his principal place of

business in Los Angeles, California.

- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that belief alleges that Defendant Leonardo Franco Da Silva Zannier resides in Uruguay and is conducting business in Los Angeles, California.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that belief alleges that Defendant Adan Franco resides in Uruguay and is conducting business in Los Angeles, California.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that belief alleges that Defendant Gianni Pivetta resides in Argentina and is conducting business in Los Angeles, California.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that belief alleges that Defendant Ruben Aguilera resides in Argentina and is conducting business in Los Angeles, California.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon that belief alleges that Defendant Concepcion Garcia resides in Argentina and is conducting business in Los Angeles, California.
- 12. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, employee, or otherwise, of the Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 5, inclusive, currently are unknown to Plaintiff and Plaintiff therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a Doe is responsible legally in some manner for the acts, conduct, omissions and events referred to herein, causing injury and damage proximately thereby to Plaintiff, as alleged hereinafter. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege the true names, capacities and circumstances establishing the liability of the Defendants designated herein as Does 1 through 5, inclusive, at such time as Plaintiff ascertains the same.
  - 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis averts that, at all

times herein relevant, each of the Defendants was the agent, servant, employee, supervisor, co-venturer, subsidiary and/or corporate-parent of each of the remaining Defendants and, at all times herein relevant, each Defendant was acting within the course, scope, purpose, consent, knowledge, ratification, and authorization of such agency, employment, joint venture, subsidiary and/or corporate-parent relationship.

14. Whenever in this Complaint reference is made to "Defendant", or "Defendants" and each of them, such allegations shall refer to all Defendants named herein, including all Defendants designated herein as Does, and shall be deemed to mean the conduct of any and all such Defendants acting individually, jointly and/or severally.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Plaintiff, Velazquez alleges claims for federal trademark infringement and unfair competition in connection with Defendants' unauthorized and unlawful use and misappropriation of a duly registered federal trademark and service mark "Los Iracundos" belonging to Plaintiff's licensor.
- 16. On October 30, 2012, Plaintiff obtained a federal registration of the trademark and service mark "Los Iracundos" with the United States Patent and Trademark Office for pre-recorded CDs featuring performances by a musical group; pre-recorded electronic and digital media featuring performances by a musical group in Class9 and as a service mark for entertainment services, namely, live performances of musical band in Class 41. A true and correct copy of the Certificate of Registration No.4,232,880 is attached as *Exhibit "A"* and is incorporated by reference.
- 17. Los Iracundos is a South American musical group created in 1960 by the Plaintiff, it records and performs a Latin rock musical genre. As one the first Latin Rock groups to reach international success, it is credited with helping to popularize the genre throughout Latin America and the United States.

- 18. Plaintiff has express authority to commence or prosecute any claims or suits against third parties with respect to any matter in connection with the trademark rights to "Los Iracundos" including, without limitation, infringement actions.
- 19. Plaintiff has used the name "Los Iracundos" substantially and continuously throughout the United States and the World since March 1974.
- 20. Plaintiff has exhibited the musical group named "Los Iracundos" at live music performances in venues throughout the United States and World since March 1974.
- 21. Plaintiff has used the federally registered "Los Iracundos" mark for live music performances as well as on promotional flyers, electronic and print media, and other forms of advertisement and marketing for "Los Iracundos" musical performances.
- 22. At significant expense and effort, Plaintiff has maintained the "Los Iracundos" federal trademark and enhanced the mark's value.
- 23. Plaintiff has continually and substantially advertised and marketed performances and other entertainment services, as well as goods, in connection with the mark "Los Iracundos." Plaintiff has spent considerable sums of money promoting and enhancing the goodwill associated with the "Los Iracundos" performances, services, and products throughout the United States and Mexico.
- 24. Plaintiff has continually controlled the content and quality of the "Los Iracundos" musical performances and other services and goods, which prominently display the distinctive style, trade dress, and persona of "Los Iracundos."
- 25. Plaintiff's distinctive trade dress is strongly associated by the public with Los Iracundos' musical group by virtue of extensive sales promotions, musical performances, displays, advertising and other marketing efforts, as well as the "Los Iracundos" manner of musical performance, image, style, sound and

6

20

22

28

presentation.

- As a result of Plaintiff's afore described use, promotion and publicity, 26. the "Los Iracundos" mark has acquired enormous value and inestimable goodwill, and has become extremely well-known and famous to the consuming public and trade as identifying a source of high quality.
- Plaintiff's efforts have strengthened the public meaning of the 27. inherently distinctive trademark and service mark "Los Iracundos" The mark is used to indicate the source of Velazquez's "Los Irancundos" public performances and other goods and services, identifying and distinguishing them from those rendered or sold by others.
- 28. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have knowingly operated and promoted a musical group of performing artists who call and style themselves "Los Iracundos."
- 29. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have been advertising the performances of musical groups presenting themselves as "Los Iracundos" at public performances that have taken place over several years.
- Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have 30. knowingly misrepresented to the general public that their groups are the same as Velazquez's group, which is the rightful owner of the trademark and service mark "Los Iracundos."
- 31. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have knowingly misrepresented in several written public advertisements and in other ways that they are the owners of the mark and trade name "Los Iracundos."
- Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have used 32. the name "Los Iracundos" in connection with the same goods and services as Velazquez, all in direct violation of Velazquez's "Los Iracundos" rights.
- Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants and Does 1 through 5, have used the name "Los Iracundos" in advertising and marketing their

group to promoters, venue operators, and others, preying upon the public confusion by utilizing a name that is identical to the tradename and mark of Plaintiff's musical group and undercutting the market for Plaintiff's group by selling Defendants' services at a greatly reduced rate from that charged by Plaintiff's musical group while utilizing an identical moniker.

- 34. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants' activities have led unscrupulous promoters and venue operators to use the sound recordings and name of "Los Iracundos" to advertise Defendants' services to an unsuspecting public, particularly since Defendants' pirate group has no sound recordings of th its own, much less any sound recordings made and marketed under the name "Los Iracundos".
- 35. The activities of Defendants stand to irreparably harm the group that Plaintiff has cultivated and Plaintiff now stands to defend as the licensee.
- 36. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have scheduled public performances for coming weeks in California and elsewhere, and that unscrupulous promoters and venue operators to whom Defendants sell the services of the Defendants' group are well aware that Defendants' group is not "Los Iracundos".
- 37. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that promoters, venue operators and others have caused print and other forms of advertising to be placed before the public in a calculated effort to mislead the public into attending the performances of Defendants' no-name group by holding the group out as "Los Iracundos".
- 38. Meanwhile, Plaintiff is irreparably harmed each time Defendants practice their deceptions upon an unsuspecting public. Such customers are less likely to be as trusting next time a performance by Plaintiff's musical group is advertised. The damage to Plaintiff's reputation, earning ability and bottom line is incalculable; the harm is irreparable.

7

5

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

39. Defendants can be expected to continue the above deceptive practices in order to benefit from the confusion they are causing unless enjoined form such deceptive activities.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## **Trademark Infringement in Violation of Section 32** of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114

- 40. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 39 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- This claim for relief arises under Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 41. U.S.C. § 114 and is alleged against all Defendants.
- Plaintiff is the licensee of the musical group, trade name and service mark "Los Iracundos". Continuously since 1974, Plaintiff has used the mark "Los Iracundos" in commerce in connection with the services of the musical group as performing and recording artists. The mark "Los Iracundos" is inherently distinctive and has acquired a secondary meaning to referring to Plaintiff's group.
- 43. None of the Defendants named herein have any claim or colorable right to utilize the name "Los Iracundos".
- The foregoing acts of Defendants constitute infringement of U.S. 44 Trademark Registration No. 4,232,880 in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 45. Defendants' unauthorized use of "Los Iracundos" as a mark falsely indicates to consumers that Defendants' entertainment services originate from, are approved by, are licensed by, or are affiliated with Plaintiff or are otherwise associated with Plaintiff's entertainment services and live music performances.
- 46. Defendants' unauthorized use of "Los Iracundos" in the manner described above is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive the public into believing Defendants' goods and services originate from or are associated with Plaintiff.

- 47. Defendants' unauthorized use of the "Los Iracundos" mark removes Velazquez's ability to control the nature and quality of the goods and services provided under its "Los Iracundos" mark and places the Plaintiff's valuable reputation and goodwill in the hands of the Defendants.
- 48. Plaintiff is entitled to strong protection under Section 32 of the Lanham Act because such mark, when used to identify entertainment services and live music performances is "arbitrary" as to such services.
- 49. Plaintiff believes that it has or is likely to be damaged by Defendants' use of the mark at issue and will suffer irreparable harm.
- 50. Defendants are therefore liable, without limitation, for the remedies provided for in 15 U.S.C. § 1114(2), 1116, 1117 and 1118.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### Federal Trademark Counterfeiting, 15 U.S.C. § 1114

- 51. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 50 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. This claim for relief arises under 15 U.S.C. § 114 and is alleged against all Defendants.
- 53. Defendants' foregoing acts constitute federal trademark counterfeiting of Plaintiff's federally registered trademark "Los Iracundos" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116.
- 54. Federal registration of a trademark is prima facie evidence of a registrant's exclusive right to use the registered trademark in commerce in connection with the goods or services specified in the certificate, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b). Plaintiff, Velazquez, as the exclusive owner of the "Los Iracundos" trademark, possesses the right to initiate proceedings to enforce, protect, and defend the "Los Iracundos" brand.
- 55. Plaintiff has continually and substantially advertised and marketed performances and other entertainment services, as well as goods, in connection

with the mark "Los Iracundos." Plaintiff has spent considerable sums of money promoting and enhancing the goodwill associated with the "Los Iracundos" performances, services, and products throughout the United States and in foreign countries.

- 56. Defendants have been advertising the performances of musical groups presenting themselves as "Los Iracundos" at public performances that have taken place over several years.
- 57. Defendants have knowingly misrepresented in several written public advertisements and in other ways that they are the owners of the mark and trade name "Los Iracundos."
- 58. The Defendants' willful and intentional counterfeiting, imitating, and copying of Velazquez's registered trademark has confused, and will likely continue to confuse or deceive the public into believing Defendants' goods and services originate from or are associated with Plaintiff.
- 59. Defendants' activities are in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1116(1)(a) and constitute counterfeiting of a registered trademark in connection with the sale or offering for sale of a service which is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception with the services offered by Plaintiff.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition in Violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)

- 60. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 59 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. This claim for relief arises under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and is alleged against all Defendants.
- 62. Defendants' unauthorized use of the "Los Iracundos" mark, trade name, and trade dress falsely indicates to consumers that Defendants entertainment services originate from, are approved by, are sponsored by, are licensed by, or are

affiliated with Plaintiff or are otherwise associated with Plaintiff's entertainment services and live music performances.

- 63. Defendants' unauthorized use of the "Los Iracundos" mark in the manner described above is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive the public into believing Defendants' goods and services originate from or are associated with Plaintiff.
- 64. Defendants' infringement has been intentional and willful, constituting and exceptional case pursuant to Section 35 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover three times the amount of: (1) Defendants' profits; and (2) Defendants actual damages, including prejudgment interest. Plaintiff is further entitled to recover their attorney fees and costs incurred in this action.
- 65. Defendants' unauthorized use of the "Los Iracundos" trademark, trade name and trade dress removes from Plaintiff the ability to control the nature and quality of the goods and services provided under its "Los Iracundos" mark and places the valuable reputation and goodwill of Plaintiff in the hands of the Defendants.
- 66. Plaintiff's Licensor's mark is entitled to strong protection under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act because such mark, when used to identify entertainment services and musical sound recordings, is "arbitrary" as to such services, and because Plaintiff has extensively promoted the mark to the relevant public.
- 67. Plaintiff has been or is likely to be irreparably damaged by Defendants' use of the mark, trade name, and trade dress at issue.
- 68. Defendants' actions, as set forth above, constitute trademark, trade name and trade dress infringement in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
  - Defendants are therefore liable, without limitation, for the remedies

5

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

provided for in 15 U.S.C. § 1114(2), 1116, 1117, and 1118.

#### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### Trademark Dilution Under The Lanham Act

- 70. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 69 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 71. This claim for relief arises under Lanham Act and is alleged against all Defendants.
- 72. The trade name and mark of Plaintiff's, "Los Iracundos" has become famous throughout the United States, through use in advertising of the musical group's services, through radio airplay, record sales, the appearance of the musical group on television broadcast in Latin America and the United States, newspaper and magazine articles concerning the group and public appearances at concerts, dances and events.
- 73. Defendants' use of the "Los Iracundos" mark and distinctive designs tarnishes the image of and dilutes the distinctive character of the "Los Iracundos" mark and will diminish and destroy the public association of the trade name and mark of Plaintiff's licensor's musical group.
- In engaging in the actions complained of above, Defendants and each of them willfully intended and intend to trade on the reputation of the musical group of the Plaintiff's licensor.
- 75. In engaging in the actions complained of above, defendants and each of them have willfully intended to cause dilution of the famous trade name and mark belonging to Plaintiff's licensor.
- 76. For each act of unfair competition, Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages as well as Defendants' profits from such infringement.
- 77. Defendants' continuous use of Plaintiff's trademark and distinctive designs diminishes and dilutes the distinctive value of the mark, to the great detriment of Plaintiff in contravention of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1).

78. As a result of Defendants' activities, Plaintiff and the public have been and are likely to be further irreparably damaged. causing confusion, mistake or deception.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For an order requiring Defendants to show cause, if they have any, why they should not be enjoined as set forth below, during the pendency of this action.
- 2. For a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction, all enjoining Defendants and each of them and their agents, servants, employees and co-ventures, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from engaging in or performing any of the following acts:
- (a) Using the name "Los Iracundos" or any confusing similar or colorable imitation of the name, in connection with advertising in any form, or in connection with the goods or services of Defendants or any of them.
- (b) Using the name "Los Iracundos" or any confusing similar colorable imitation of the name, in any manner for the purpose of enhancing the commercial value of the goods or services of Defendants;
- (c) Otherwise infringing or diluting the distinctive quality of Plaintiff's service mark and trade name "Los Iracundos";
- (d) Causing a likelihood of confusion, deception or mistake as to the makeup, source, nature or quality of Plaintiff's or Defendants' services.
- (e) Contacting promoters, advertisers or other businesses for the purpose of offering the services of Defendants as "Los Iracundos" or any confusing similar or colorable imitation of the name.
- 3. For an order requiring the Defendants to deliver up and destroy all promotional literature, advertising, goods and other materials bearing the

infringing, diluting or injurious designations.

- 4. For actual damages in amount not less than \$100,000.00.
- 5. For three times the amount of Plaintiff's actual damages suffered by reason of Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's mark and trade name.
- 6. For three times the amount of Defendants' profits derived from the infringement of Plaintiff's mark and trade name.
  - 7. For punitive damages in an amount to be proved at trial.
  - 8. For prejudgement interest.
- 9. For an accounting of all monies received by Defendants form their activities in connection with the use of the name "Los Iracundos."
  - 10. For costs of suit.
  - 11. For reasonable attorney fees.
  - 12. For such relief as the court may deem appropriate.

Dated: September 6, 2013

LAW OFFICES LOPEZ & ASSOCIATES

By:

Anthony R. Lopez, Attorney for Plaintiff Juan Carlos Velazquez

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Plaintiff demands a trial of this action by a jury. Dated: September 6, 2013 LAW OFFICES LOPEZ & ASSOCIATES By: Anthony R. Lopez, Attorney for Plaintiff Juan Carlos Velazquez 

# EXHIBIT "A"

# United States of America United States Patent and Trademark Office

# LOS IRACUNDOS

Reg. No. 4,232,880

VELAZQUEZ, JUAN CARLOS (URUGUAY INDIVIDUAL)

Registered Oct. 30, 2012 MIAMI, FL 33132

40 NE 1ST AVENUE, SUITE 404 MIAMI EL 33132

Int. Cls.: 9 and 41

FOR: PRE-RECORDED CDS FEATURING PERFORMANCES BY A MUSICAL GROUP,; PRE-RECORDED ELECTRONIC AND DIGITAL MEDIA FEATURING PERFORMANCES BY A MUSICAL GROUP, IN CLASS 9 (U.S. CLS. 21, 23, 26, 36 AND 38).

TRADEMARK

FIRST USE 3-31-1974; IN COMMERCE 3-31-1974.

SERVICE MARK

FOR: ENTERTAINMENT, NAMELY, LIVE PERFORMANCES BY A MUSICAL BAND, IN CLASS 41 (U.S. CLS. 100, 101 AND 107).

PRINCIPAL REGISTER

FIRST USE 7-31-1976; IN COMMERCE 7-31-1976.

THE MARK CONSISTS OF STANDARD CHARACTERS WITHOUT CLAIM TO ANY PARTICULAR FONT, STYLE, SIZE, OR COLOR.

THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF "LOS IRACUNDOS" IN THE MARK IS "THE IRASCIBLE ONES" OR "THE IRATE ONES".

SER. NO. 85-522,239, FILED 1-22-2012.

SER. 190. 65-522,255, FIDING 1-22-2012.

GILBERT SWIFT, EXAMINING ATTORNEY



David J. Kypos

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

### REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN YOUR FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

WARNING: YOUR REGISTRATION WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU DO NOT FILE THE DOCUMENTS BELOW DURING THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIODS.

Requirements in the First Ten Years\* What and When to File:

First Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) between the 5th and 6th years after the registration date. See 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. If the declaration is accepted, the registration will continue in force for the remainder of the ten-year period, calculated from the registration date, unless cancelled by an order of the Commissioner for Trademarks or a federal court.

Second Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between the 9th and 10th years after the registration date.\*

See 15 U.S.C. \$1059.

Requirements in Successive Ten-Year Periods\* What and When to File:

You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between every 9th and 10th-year period, calculated from the registration date.\*

#### **Grace Period Filings\***

The above documents will be accepted as timely if filed within six months after the deadlines listed above with the payment of an additional fee.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will NOT send you any future notice or reminder of these filing requirements.

\*ATTENTION MADRID PROTOCOL REGISTRANTS: The holder of an international registration with an extension of protection to the United States under the Madrid Protocol must timely file the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) referenced above directly with the USPTO. The time periods for filing are based on the U.S. registration date (not the international registration date). The deadlines and grace periods for the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) are identical to those for nationally issued registrations. See 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. However, owners of international registrations do not file renewal applications at the USPTO. Instead, the holder must file a renewal of the underlying international registration at the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, under Article 7 of the Madrid Protocol, before the expiration of each ten-year term of protection, calculated from the date of the international registration. See 15 U.S.C. §1141j. For more information and renewal forms for the international registration, see http://www.wipo.int/madrid/en/.

NOTE: Fees and requirements for maintaining registrations are subject to change. Please check the USPTO website for further information. With the exception of renewal applications for registered extensions of protection, you can file the registration maintenance documents referenced above online at http://www.uspto.gov.

## W Document 1 Filed 09/06/13 Page 19 of 22 Page ID #:26 Name & Address: ANTHONY R. LOPEZ, a Professional Corporation LAW OFFICES LOPEZ & ASSOCIATES ANTHONY R. LOPEZ, CASBN 149653 9025 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 500, Beverly Hills, CA Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JUAN CARLOS VELAZQUEZ CASE NUMBER CV13-6549 PLAINTIFF(S) ٧. CELSO HERNANDEZ individually and doing businessas PLAYA LAS TUNAS RESTAURANT SUMMONS (SEE ATTACHMENT) DEFENDANT(S). DEFENDANT(S): Celso Hernandez individually and doing business as Playa Las Tunas Restaurant; TO: Leonardo Franco Da Silva Zannier: Adan Franco: Gianni Pivetta: Ruben Aguilera: Concepcion Garcia A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within <u>21</u> days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \( \subseteq \) complaint \( \subseteq \) amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Anthony R. Lopez, whose address is 9025 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 500, Beverly Hills, CA 90211 . If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court of the Court) [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)

#### **Attachment to Summons**

JUAN CARLOS VELAZQUEZ;

Plaintiff

VS.

CELSO HERNANDEZ individually and doing business as PLAYA LAS TUNAS RESTAURANT; LEONARDO FRANCO DA SILVA ZANNIER; ADAN FRANCO; GIANNI PIVETTA; RUBEN AGUILERA; CONCEPCION GARCIA and DOES 1 to 5

Defendants.

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) Juan Carlos Velazquez				DEFENDANTS Celso Hernandez individually and doing business as Playa Las Tunas Restaurant; Leonardo Franco Da Silva Zannier; Adan Franco; Gianni Pivetta; Ruben Aguilera; Concepcion Garcia					
yourself, provide same.) ANTHONY R. LOPEZ,	ddress and Telephone Number. If a Professional Corporation & ASSOCIATES, 9025 Wilshire (310)276-4700		ng Attorneys	(If Known)					
	ON (Place an X in one box only.)	(Pla	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)						
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff			Citizen of This State			Incorporated or of Business in the		PTF DEF □4 ■4	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant . □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)						of Business in A	d Principal Place Another State		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only.)	Citizen o	r Subject of a Fore	aign Country [1]	3 <b>IX</b> 3	Foreign Nation		□6 □6	
,	red from 3 Remanded from	☐ 4 Reinstated o Reopened	r □ 5 Transferr	ed from another d	istrict (sp	Dist	trict Judg	eal to Distric ge from gistrate Judge	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL CLASS ACTION under F.R.C VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1051 VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	C.P. 23: Yes No te the U.S. Civil Statute under wh - TRADEMARK		□ MONEY D	EMANDED IN C	COMPLA		tatutes unless dive	ersity.)	
OTFIER STATETES  400 State Reapportionment  410 Antitrust  430 Banks and Banking  450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.  460 Deportation  470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt  Organizations  480 Consumer Credit  490 Cable/Sat TV  810 Selective Service  850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange  875 Customer Challenge 12  USC 3410  890 Other Statutory Actions  891 Agricultural Act  892 Economic Stabilization Act  893 Environmental Matters  894 Energy Allocation Act	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	TIORTS   PERSONAL     310   Airplane   315   Airplane   Liability   320   Assault,   Stander   330   Fed. Emp   Liability   340   Marine   345   Marine   345   Motor Ve   355   Motor Ve   360   Other Per   Injury   362   Personal   Product L   368   Asbestos   Injury Product L   368   Asbestos   Injury Product Lability   IMMIGRAT   462   Naturaliza	NJURY	PERSONAL PROPERTY Other Fraud Truth in Lending Other Personal Property Damag Property Damag Product Liability ANCRULICY Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 IVIE RICHTS Voting Employment Housing/Acco- mmodations Welfare American with Disabilities - Employment American with	530		□ 862 Black L □ 863 DIWC/I (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Ti □ 865 RSI (40:	bor Standards Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ng & ure Act y Labor Act abor on det. Inc. y Act GRIGHUS ghts ark pegbirity ung (923) DIWW ) ittle XVI	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Ca

Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pro	eviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre-	viously filed in this court tha	at are related to the present case? V No Ves				
□ C, 1	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons wo	or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial puld entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or lly related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or 6, <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
<ul><li>IX. VENUE: (When completing the</li><li>(a) List the County in this District; (</li></ul>	_		f necessary.)  if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.				
Check here if the government, its	s agencies or emplo	yees is a named plaintiff. If	this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles			Florida				
(b) List the County in this District; (☐ Check here if the government, its	California County or s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles			Uruguay and Argentina				
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles  * Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard	dino, Riverside, Ve	entura, Santa Bartaja, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties				
Note: In land condemnation cases, use X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C		tract of land involved	Date 09/06/2013				
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by law but is used by the Clerk of the Co	e CV-71 (JS-44)-Ci This form, approvent for the purpose of	ed by the Judicial Conference	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings to of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08)